

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE  
BEFORE THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

In re: ) I & G Docket No. 03-0001  
)  
LION RAISINS, INC., a )  
California corporation formerly )  
known as LION ENTERPRISES, )  
INC.; LION RAISIN COMPANY, )  
a partnership or unincorporated )  
association; LION PACKING )  
COMPANY, a partnership or )  
unincorporated association; AL )  
LION, JR., an individual; DAN )  
LION, an individual; JEFF LION, )  
an individual; and BRUCE LION, )  
an individual, )  
)  
Respondents ) **Stay Order**

On May 24, 2004, I issued a Decision and Order concluding Lion Raisins, Inc.; Lion Raisin Company; Lion Packing Company; Al Lion, Jr.; Dan Lion; Jeff Lion; and Bruce Lion [hereinafter Respondents] violated the Agricultural Marketing Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. § 1621-1632), and the regulations governing the inspection and certification of processed fruits and vegetables (7 C.F.R. pt. 52).<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>*In re Lion Raisins, Inc.*, 63 Agric. Dec. \_\_\_\_ (May 24, 2004).

On June 1, 2004, Respondents filed “Respondents’ Motion to the Judicial Officer for a Stay of Enforcement of Its May 24, 2004 Ruling Debarring Respondents From Inspection Services” [hereinafter Motion for Stay]. Respondents state they intend to file a petition for review of the Judicial Officer’s May 24, 2004, Decision and Order in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, Fresno Division, and request a stay pending the outcome of proceedings for judicial review. On June 7, 2004, Kenneth C. Clayton, Associate Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service, United States Department of Agriculture [hereinafter Complainant], filed “Complainant’s Response to ‘Respondents’ Motion to the Judicial Officer for a Stay of Its May 24, 2004 Ruling Debarring Respondents From Inspection Services”” stating Complainant does not oppose Respondents’ motion for a stay of the May 24, 2004, Order. On June 7, 2004, the Hearing Clerk transmitted the record to the Judicial Officer for a ruling on Respondents’ Motion for Stay.

For the foregoing reason, the following Order should be issued.

**ORDER**

The Order in *In re Lion Raisins, Inc.*, 63 Agric. Dec. \_\_\_\_ (May 24, 2004), is stayed. This Stay Order shall remain effective until the Judicial Officer lifts the Stay Order or a court of competent jurisdiction vacates the Stay Order.

Done at Washington, DC

June 7, 2004

---

William G. Jenson  
Judicial Officer